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ABSTRACT: Reducing impaired driving requires a systematic, consistent, and multifaceted approach. There is
strong evidence on the effectiveness of both direct and indirect measures. The strategy that has the most immediate
and largest impact has been highly publicized, visible, and frequent impaired-driving enforcement, especially
deploying sobriety checkpoints or random breath testing. Lowering legal blood alcohol concentration (BAC)
limits for driving to 0.05 g/dL or lower has also had a world-wide impact. Raising the legal drinking age has
been successful in the US and other countries in reducing young impaired-driver fatal crashes. Graduated drivers’
licensing for youth has also been effective by restricting conditions under which youth can drive. Sanctions that
reduce impaired-driving recidivism include special driving-under-the-influence (DUI)/driving-while-intoxicated
(DWI) courts, mandatory alcohol ignition interlocks, and consistent alcohol-monitoring programs. Opportunities
for further progress include better enforcement of the drinking age and refusing to serve obviously intoxicated
patrons. Technology for detecting alcohol impairment and autonomous vehicles will also play an important role
in future efforts to eliminate impaired driving.
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FOREWORD

This article presents an overview of evidence-based policies and programs designed to reduce highway crashes
involving alcohol-impaired drivers. Scholars, researchers, or practitioners with an interest in this area should also
consult the article by Voas [181], and a report published by the US National Highway Traffic Safety Administra-
tion (NHTSA) [70] on “Countermeasures That Work”, which lists over 100 specific traffic-safety countermea-
sures with evidence of effectiveness, covering all areas of traffic-safety behavioral programs. The latest in the series
of NHTSA Alcohol and Highway Safety reviews also presents evidence up through 2006 [187]. Other articles
relevant to this review include “Effectiveness of Behavioral Highway Safety Countermeasures” [146], “Preventing
Impaired Driving: Opportunities and Problems” [182], and “Programs and Policies Designed to Reduce Impaired
Driving” [183].

This article uses the public health approach taken by Voas [183], which provides a good logical structure for
understanding the characteristics and impacts of alternative approaches. Alcohol-impaired driving countermeasures
that are proven effective or that have great potential are classified here under three headings: Primary Prevention,
Secondary Prevention, and Tertiary Prevention. Primary Prevention countermeasures reduce high-risk drinking and
high-risk driving directly, by limiting alcohol availability and reducing high-risk nighttime driving. Secondary

INTRODUCTION

Impaired Driving: A Worldwide Problem

Alcohol-impaired driving has been recognized as a problem almost as long as automobiles have existed [36].
Worldwide, it is estimated that alcohol-impaired driving crashes account for anywhere from 5% (e.g., Turkey,
Nicaragua) to 35% (e.g., US, Australia) of the 1.35 million traffic deaths each year. A previous article, covering
“International Trends in Alcohol and Drug Use Among Motor Vehicle Drivers,” presents detailed evidence on the
prevalence of alcohol-impaired driving in several different countries [24].

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommended four policies to reduce impaired driving in their 2018 report
on the global status of road safety [209]:

- Adoption of a national drink-driving law;
- Setting blood alcohol concentration (BAC) limits for
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