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Driving Under the Influence of Psychoactive Substances — A Historical Review —


ABSTRACT: Important events in the history of driving under the influence of alcohol (DUI) and/or other drugs (DUID) are reviewed covering a period of approximately 100 years. This coincides with major developments in the pharmaceutical industry and the exponential growth in motor transportation worldwide. DUID constitutes an interaction between the driver, the motor-driven vehicle, and one or more psychoactive (mind-altering) substances. In this connection, it is important to differentiate between drugs intended and used for medical purposes (prescription or licit drugs) and recreational drugs of abuse (illicit drugs). All chemicals with a mechanism of action in the central nervous system (brain and spinal cord) are potentially dangerous to use when skilled tasks, such as driving, are performed.

The evidence necessary to charge a person with drug-impaired driving has evolved over many years and initially rested on a driver’s own admissions and observations made about the driving by police officers or eyewitnesses. Somewhat later, all suspects were examined by a physician, whose task was to ask questions about any recent ingestion of alcohol and/or other drugs and to administer various clinical tests of impairment. By the 1940s–1950s, the driver was asked to provide samples of blood, breath, or urine for toxicological analysis, although the test results served only to verify the type of drug causing impairment of the driver. The current trend in DUID legislation is toward zero-tolerance or concentration per se statutes, which are much more pragmatic because behavioral evidence of impairment is no longer a lynchpin in the prosecution case. This legal framework puts considerable emphasis on the results of toxicological analysis; therefore, the methods used must be accurate, precise, and fit for forensic purposes.

Many traffic delinquents charged with DUI or DUID suffer from a substance use and/or personality disorder, with high recidivism rates. In addition to conventional penalties and sanctions for drug-related traffic crimes, many offenders would probably benefit from a medical intervention, such as counseling, rehabilitation, and treatment for substance use disorder, which often coexists with a mental health problem.
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INTRODUCTION

Compared with drunken driving, which is as old as motor-driven transportation, driving under the influence of psychoactive substances other than alcohol is a relatively new problem for road-traffic safety. According to a 2018 report from the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 1.3–1.4 million people die each year as a result of road-traffic accidents [245]. Among these fatalities many drivers are impaired by excessive drinking or taking other psychoactive substances before driving, thereby increasing the risk of involvement in a traffic crash. Drug-impaired driving represents a global problem for public health and longevity and more effective ways of dealing with traffic delinquents and high-risk offenders should be made a top priority for government action.

Early Development

When the first “motor-wagons” appeared on the roads, driving under the influence of alcohol was not considered a criminal offense. The UK Licensing Act of 1872 had made it an offense to be “drunk while in charge on any highway or other public place of any carriage, horse, cattle or steam engine” [225]. It was not until the UK Criminal Justice Act of 1925 that this requirement was extended to cover “any mechanically propelled vehicle”. In 1930 it became illegal to drive, attempt to drive, or be in charge of a vehicle on a road or other public place while “under the influence of drink or drugs to such an extent as to be incapable of having proper control of the vehicle” [227].

The proof necessary to convict a person for drunken driving was elusive, because of the difficulty in convincing a judge or jury that a person was drunk to the extent of not being able to drive safely. The crux of the problem was
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