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ABSTRACT: Synthetic cannabinoids, which began proliferating in the United States in 2009, have gone through numerous iterations of modification to their chemical structures. More recent generations of compounds have been associated with significant adverse outcomes following use, including cognitive and psychomotor impairment, seizures, psychosis, tissue injury and death. These effects increase the urgency for forensic and public health laboratories to develop methods for the detection and identification of novel substances, and apply these to the determination of their metabolism and disposition in biological samples. This comprehensive review describes the history of the appearance of the drugs in the United States, discusses the naming conventions emerging to designate new structures, and describes the most prominent new compounds linked to the adverse effects now associated with their use. We review in depth the metabolic pathways that have been elucidated for the major members of each of the prevalent synthetic cannabinoid drug subclasses, the enzyme systems responsible for their metabolism, and the use of in silico approaches to assist in predicting and identifying the metabolites of novel compounds and drug subclasses that will continue to appear. Finally, we review and critique analytical methods applied to the detection of the drugs and their metabolites, including immunoassay screening, and liquid chromatography mass spectrometry confirmatory techniques applied to urine, serum, whole blood, oral fluid, hair, and tissues.

KEYWORDS: Designer drugs, drug metabolism and disposition, synthetic cannabinoids, synthetic drug scheduling, toxicological analysis.

Introduction

Initially thought to be a temporary distraction and a novelty, synthetic cannabinoid use in the United States and internationally has grown and diversified over the last seven years to become a discrete and challenging part of the recreational drug use market. The diversity of members of this drug class has expanded rapidly, with over 130 different chemical substances in this class being tracked by the European Monitoring Center for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) [39]. In the United States, the National Forensic Laboratory Information System (NFLIS) continues to report increases in the numbers of synthetic cannabinoid cases submitted to crime laboratories for analysis, with almost half the of most common substances reported in mid-2014 having appeared on the list only this year (2015) [167].

The practice in many crime laboratories of not reporting noncontrolled substances means that these data most likely represent a significant underestimate. In addition, the medical and scientific literature is replete with increasing reports of adverse health effects associated with this untested drug class. In early 2014, adverse event reports, including emergency room admissions, impaired driving arrests, psychotic reactions, cardiac events, seizures, and acute kidney injury associated with synthetic cannabinoids were reviewed [55], as well as two sentinel cases of fatalities associated with the drugs. In the last year there have been numerous additional reports of serious adverse events, including more traffic crashes [1,76,98], mass hospitalizations [96,148], psychotic reactions [106,123], cardiac arrest [25,68], and many more deaths [8,127,148,149,172]. This increased reporting reflects the fact that more toxicological testing resources have become available to emergency medical providers, medical examiners, and law enforcement; however, the challenges of matching analytical resources to the needs of public health and public safety agencies have never been greater.

The accelerating pace at which these drugs are reaching the market, their increased potency, and the complexity required for their chemical analysis lead to greater delays in identifying and controlling dangerous substances. In addition, the time lag between new drugs appearing on the street and laboratories developing the capabilities to detect them contributes to an underestimation of the scope of the problem, and thus failure to address the needs of the criminal justice and treatment communities.

In an earlier and complementary review, we considered the pharmacology, receptor binding, toxicological effects, adverse-event profile, human health effects, and forensic implications of use of synthetic cannabinoids on users [55]. That review described the discovery and characterization of the endocannabinoid system, as well as receptor-binding studies of various synthetic cannabinoids from the first wave of naphthoylindoles (e.g., JWH-018) to the emerging indazole carboxamide drugs (e.g., AKB48), and their analogs. The physiological effects of activation of the
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